Evaluation of Rotator Cuff Tendinopathies and Tears with High-resolution Ultrasonography and Magnetic Resonance Imaging Correlation
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Abstract

Background: The aim of this study was to assess the accuracy of high-resolution ultrasonography (USG) in the evaluation of rotator cuff tendinopathies and tears with magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) correlation to determine its sensitivity and specificity. Materials and Methods: The prospective study was conducted on 40 patients referred to the Department of Radiology for the evaluation of rotator cuff pathologies over a period of 18 months. All the patients underwent high-frequency USG followed by MRI. Variables such as sensitivity, specificity, negative predictive value (NPV), positive predictive value (PPV), and accuracy of high-frequency USG and MRI were evaluated. Results: The sensitivity, specificity, NPV, PPV, and accuracy of high-frequency USG in the evaluation of rotator cuff pathologies in comparison to MRI as standard were 90.6%, 87.5%, 96.6%, 70%, and 90%, respectively. Conclusion: High-frequency USG is almost equally sensitive and specific as MRI for the diagnosis of rotator cuff pathologies, and due to its cost-effectiveness, easy affordability, ease of evaluating contralateral shoulder, more patient compliance, noninvasiveness, and wider applications, we recommend it to be used as a primary modality for evaluating rotator cuff. MRI should be performed in case some extra information is required.
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Introduction

The shoulder joint is an elegant anatomic structure held in place by a complex arrangement of muscles, tendons, and ligaments. Rotator cuff is a continuous structure around the shoulder comprised four tendons – subscapularis, supraspinatus, infraspinatus, and teres minor tendons; the long head of the biceps tendon also contributes to the cuff.[1] The requisites for normal cuff functioning are strong, healthy, intact cuff muscles and tendons, normal capsular laxity, and smooth coracoacromial arch.

Rotator cuff tears are associated with heavy labor, bony subacromial impingement, tissue degeneration in the aging population, and repeated steroid injections.[2‑4] Intrinsic causes lead to degenerative changes in the substance of the rotator cuff with increasing age. The degeneration may result from relative ischemia in the distal portion of the tendon near its insertion site on the humerus. Rotator cuff degeneration may incite secondary proliferative bony changes on the undersurface of acromion.

Extrinsic cause of rotator cuff disease relates to the mechanical impingement by surrounding structures. The impingement can lead to inflammatory and degenerative changes in the underlying tendons.[1] Rotator cuff tears can be full-thickness tear (FTT) or partial-thickness tear (PTT).

Full-thickness tear
A FTT is a defect that allows communication between the subacromial-subdeltoid (SASD) bursa and the glenohumeral joint.

Partial-thickness tear
PTTs are so called because they involve only either substance or surface of the tendon. Joint surface partial tears are more

Address for correspondence: Dr. Mohd Ilyas,
Department of Radiodiagnosis, Sher-I-Kashmir Institute of Medical Sciences, Soura, Srinagar - 190 011, Jammu and Kashmir, India.
E-mail: ilyasmir40@gmail.com

This is an open access journal, and articles are distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 License, which allows others to remix, tweak, and build upon the work non-commercially, as long as appropriate credit is given and the new creations are licensed under the identical terms.

For reprints contact: reprints@medknow.com

How to cite this article: Ahmad Z, Ilyas M, Wani GM, Choh NA, Gojwari TA, Ahmad Kazime MJ. Evaluation of rotator cuff tendinopathies and tears with high-resolution ultrasonography and magnetic resonance imaging correlation. Arch Trauma Res 2018;7:15-23.
common than bursal surface partial tears.\textsuperscript{5} Shoulder pain is a significant cause of morbidity, the prevalence of self-reported pain is estimated to be between 16% and 26%, and it is the third most common cause of musculoskeletal consultation in primary care.\textsuperscript{6}

A thorough understanding of the anatomy and function of the rotator cuff and the consequences of rotator cuff disorders is essential for optimal treatment, planning, and prognostic accuracy. Identifying the disorder, understanding the potential clinical consequences, and reporting all relevant findings at rotator cuff imaging are also essential.

Both ultrasonography [USG] and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), being two competing noninvasive techniques, are currently touted for evaluating rotator cuff pathologies and essentially obviating conventional arthrography. Technical improvements, coupled with advances in the understanding of anatomic and pathologic characteristic of the rotator cuff, have resulted in the maturation of these two modalities.\textsuperscript{7} Both techniques have their advantages and disadvantages and can be competitive and complementary at the same time.

At present, MRI is the preferred choice for the evaluation of rotator cuff fatty infiltration and muscle atrophy in clinical practice. However, it is expensive and time-consuming.\textsuperscript{8} The accuracy of these imaging tests is considered to have improved significantly over time, enabling useful assessment of the size and extent of the rotator cuff tear when planning surgery.

Various authors have suggested that US is as accurate as MRI for both FTTs and PTTs. These results, combined with the lower cost of US, suggest that US may be the most cost-effective imaging method for screening of rotator cuff tears provided that the examiner has been properly trained in this operator-dependent technique.\textsuperscript{9} Moreover, MRI should be used secondarily because it provides more information about extent of tendons and has lower risk of artifacts.

**Aim and objectives**

1. To assess the diagnostic accuracy of high-resolution USG for diagnosing rotator cuff tears and tendinopathies (one or more tendons) with MRI correlation
2. To determine the diagnostic accuracy of high-resolution USG for assessing the biceps tendon pathologies in people with rotator cuff tears and tendinopathy.

**Materials and Methods**

The study was conducted over a period of 18 months in the Department of Radiology. The study was approved by the Institutional Ethical and Scientific Committee.

The prospective study was carried out on 40 patients with clinically suspected rotator cuff pathology referred from the departments of orthopedics and physical medicine and rehabilitation over a period of 18 months.

**Inclusion criteria**

Patients aged ≥20 years of either gender who presented with pain in shoulders and were clinically suspected to have rotator cuff pathology, both acute and chronic, were included in the study.

**Exclusion criteria**

- Patients with metallic implants, cardiac pacemakers, cochlear implants
- Patients who were claustrophobic
- Patients who refused to give consent
- Patient who were unwilling for imaging
- Patients who had undergone shoulder surgery
- Posttreatment patients.

**Methods**

The study was conducted in along the following lines:

- A high-resolution real-time US examination of shoulder was done
- US examination of the contralateral shoulder was done in each patient for comparison
- Dual-image technology and aligning the soft tissues on both the sides to mirror each other were used for precise comparison, often with bony landmarks and contours as guide
- MRI shoulder was done in all patients and the findings were recorded and correlated with the USG findings
- All the ancillary investigations such as shoulder X-ray (anteroposterior view) were done as and when required.

**Sonographic technique and patient position**

The sonography was performed with Siemens Aloka equipped with a phased array linear 7–11 MHz transducer. The US examination was done according to the American Institute of US in Medicine Practice Guidelines (2007) for the Performance of the Musculoskeletal US Examination.

Patients were examined in the sitting position, on a rotating seat. The biceps tendon was examined with the forearm in supination and resting on the thigh or with the arm in slight external rotation. The tendon was examined in a transverse plane (short axis), where it emerges from under the acromion to the musculotendinous junction distally. Longitudinal views (long axis) were also obtained. These views were used to determine if the tendon is properly positioned within the bicipital groove, subluxated, dislocated, or torn.

To examine the subscapularis tendon, the elbow remained at the side while the arm was placed in external rotation. Both transverse (long-axis) and sagittal (short-axis) views were obtained. Dynamic evaluation as the patient moved the arm from internal to external rotation was also done.

To examine the supraspinatus tendon, the arm was extended posteriorly, and the palmar aspect of the hand was placed against the superior aspect of the iliac wing with the elbow flexed and directed toward midline (patient was instructed to place the hand in the back pocket).
To scan the supraspinatus and infraspinatus tendons along their long axis, the transducer was oriented approximately 45° between the sagittal and coronal planes to obtain a longitudinal view. The transducer was then moved anteriorly and posteriorly to completely visualize the tendons.

Transverse views of the tendons were obtained by rotating the probe 90° to the long axis. The tendons were visualized by sweeping medially to the acromion and laterally to their insertions on the greater tuberosity. The more posterior aspect of the infraspinatus and teres minor tendons was examined by placing the transducer at the level of the glenohumeral joint below the scapular spine while the forearm rested on the thigh with the hand supinated. Internal and external rotation of the arm was helpful in identifying the infraspinatus muscle and its tendon and in detecting small joint effusions.

To visualize the teres minor tendon, the probe was angled slightly inferiorly. Throughout the examination of the rotator cuff, the cuff was compressed to detect nonretracted tears. In the evaluation of rotator cuff tears, comparison with the contralateral side was done.

While examining the rotator cuff, attention was also paid to evaluate for bursal thickening, effusion, loose bodies, tendon calcification, and muscle and bony abnormalities. If symptoms warranted, the acromioclavicular joint, the supraspinatus notch, and the spinoglenoid notch were also evaluated.

USG criteria for the diagnosis of rotator cuff tears include direct and indirect signs.

All MRI examinations were performed with a 1.5-T MR system (MAGNETOM Avanto, Siemens Healthcare, Germany). A flexible surface coil for shoulder was used. The patients were positioned in the magnet supine with the arms along the thorax and the affected arm externally rotated. The scanning protocol included oblique coronal (along the long axis of the tendon of the supraspinatus muscle), oblique sagittal (perpendicular to the course of supraspinatus muscle), and axial planes. The MRI shoulder protocol consisted of:

- Oblique sagittal T1-weighted fast-spin echo (FSE) images
- Oblique coronal T1-weighted FSE, T2-weighted FSE, proton-density images, and short tau inversion recovery images
- Axial gradient echo images.

A field of view of 16 cm and the slice thickness of 4 mm were used.

MRI findings were classified into intact cuff, partial-thickness and full-thickness rotator cuff tears, and tendinopathies. Established criteria were used for the diagnosis of a partial-thickness or full-thickness rotator cuff tears. Biceps tendon involvement and other associated abnormalities were noted.

**Observations and Results**

There were 22 males and 18 females, with a ratio of 1.2:1. Statistically, no difference was found in the prevalence of shoulder pain in relation to gender. Distribution of patients with shoulder pain as per age group was 8 (20%) patients in 20–40 years of age group, 14 (35%) patients in 41–60 years of age group, and 18 (45%) patients in the age group of ≥61 years. The prevalence of shoulder pain increases with the increasing age.

The highest number of patients was in the age group of ≥61 years (45%). Eleven (27.5%) patients had a history of trauma. Two (5%) patients were in 20–40 years of age group, 4 (10%) patients were in 41–60 years of age group, and 5 (12.5%) patients were in the age group of ≥ 60 years. The number of patients with right shoulder pain was 32 (80%) and left shoulder pain was 8 (20%).

There was statistically significant difference between the prevalence of cuff tear in the dominant and nondominant arms. Out of 25 right-handed patients, 23 patients had rotator cuff pathology involving the right shoulder and two had pathology involving the left shoulder. Out of seven patients who were left handed, five patients had rotator cuff pathology of left shoulder while two patients had right shoulder cuff pathology. It suggests that the dominant arm is more prone to wearing effects and thus tear/tendinopathy.

Out of 40 patients, 14 patients showed FTTs, nine patients had PTTs, seven patients had tendinopathy, and 10 patients were normal.

**Agreement between ultrasonography and magnetic resonance imaging**

The agreement between the USG and MRI was done using kappa coefficient and the agreement was found to be good (kappa = 0.714).

The strength of agreement between USG and MRI for the diagnosis of rotator cuff tears and tendinopathy is considered to be “good.” Out of 30 patients with cuff lesions on USG, 14 patients showed FTTs and one patient with MRI-proven PTT was falsely diagnosed as having FTT. USG also showed nine PTTs, out of which one had FTT and one had tendinopathy on MRI.

Three patients with MRI-proven lesions were falsely diagnosed as normal. Out of seven patients with tendinopathy, one was normal, one had FTT, and two had PTT on MRI. USG detected 29 true-positive lesions, three false-negative lesions, and one false-positive lesion while seven patients were diagnosed as normal (true negatives). When MRI was conducted on these patients, 32 patients had rotator cuff lesions while eight patients were normal. Out of these 32 patients, 15 patients showed FTTs, 10 patients showed PTTs, and seven had tendinopathy.

Statistical evaluation of USG for the detection of rotator cuff tears and tendinopathy compared with MRI was done. Sensitivity, specificity, and positive and negative predictive values (PPV and NPV) were calculated according to accepted formulae. Tables 1-11 show the frequency of various abnormalities seen on USG and MRI with the correlation.
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Table 1: Direct ultrasound signs observed in patients with full- and partial‑thickness rotator cuff tears and tendinopathy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Direct ultrasound signs</th>
<th>FTT (n=14), n (%)</th>
<th>PTT (n=9), n (%)</th>
<th>Tendinopathy (n=7), n (%)</th>
<th>Total (n=40), n (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Full‑thickness discontinuity of the rotator cuff</td>
<td>8 (57.1)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>8 (20)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Nonvisualization of the rotator cuff tendon</td>
<td>6 (42.8)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6 (15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Focal thinning of the rotator cuff tendons</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2 (22.2)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2 (5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. A hypoechoic defect involving surface (articular/bursal) or substance of the tendon</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7 (77.7)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7 (17.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Bulky, heterogeneous echogenicity of tendon</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5 (71.4)</td>
<td>5 (12.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Diffuse thinning or calcifications in the tendon</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2 (28.6)</td>
<td>2 (5)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FTT: Full‑thickness tear, PTT: Partial‑thickness tear

Table 2: Indirect ultrasound signs observed in patients with partial- or full‑thickness rotator cuff tears and tendinopathy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indirect USG signs</th>
<th>FTT (n=14), n (%)</th>
<th>PTT (n=9), n (%)</th>
<th>Tendinopathy (n=7), n (%)</th>
<th>Total (n=40), n (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. The concavity of the subdeltoid bursal fat or loss of convexity of the outer border of the rotator cuff</td>
<td>3 (21.4)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1 (14.2)</td>
<td>4 (10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Cortical irregularity at the insertion of rotator cuff tendon</td>
<td>10 (71.4)</td>
<td>6 (66.6)</td>
<td>2 (28.5)</td>
<td>18 (45)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Cartilage interface sign</td>
<td>3 (21.4)</td>
<td>1 (11.1)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4 (10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. SASD bursal effusion</td>
<td>8 (57.1)</td>
<td>3 (33.3)</td>
<td>2 (28.5)</td>
<td>13 (32.5)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

USG: Ultrasonography, SASD: Subacromial subdeltoid, FTT: Full‑thickness tear, PTT: Partial‑thickness tear

Table 3: Results obtained on magnetic resonance imaging

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Diagnosis</th>
<th>MRI</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FTT</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>37.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PTT</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tendinopathy</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>17.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No tear</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MRI: Magnetic resonance imaging, FTT: Full‑thickness tear, PTT: Partial‑thickness tear

Figure 1: (a) Short‑axis ultrasound image showing normal supraspinatus tendon. (b) Coronal T2‑weighted image showing normal supraspinatus tendon

Discussion

One of the most common causes of shoulder pain is rotator cuff disease. It is the third most prevalent musculoskeletal disorder after low back and neck pain. Shoulder pain is usually due to one of several causes: subacromial impingement and bursopathy, tendinopathy, a tendon tear, a frozen shoulder, ligamentous instability, and osteoarthritis. This study was based on the evaluation of rotator cuff tendinopathies and tears with high‑resolution USG and MRI correlation.

The present study found no statistical difference in the prevalence of rotator cuff tear or tendinopathy in each gender, which correlates to the study conducted by Milgrom et al.[10]

The ages of all the cases ranged from 20 to 70 years (mean age 45 years).

History of trauma was more often seen in elderly patients. It was found that massive tears affect elderly population more frequently.

Out of 25 patients who were right handed, 23 patients had rotator cuff pathology involving the right shoulder and two had pathology involving the left shoulder. It suggests that dominant arm is more susceptible to wearing effects, leading to rotator cuff tears and tendinopathy.

Similar results were obtained by Moosmayer et al., who studied 100 subjects with full‑thickness rotator cuff tears and found that RCT was more common in the dominant arm.[11]

US examination of the contralateral shoulder was done in each for comparison. Rutten et al. recommended comparison with the contralateral shoulder as additional support for avoiding misinterpretation of normal anatomic differences as tears.[12]

Out of 40 patients, 14 patients showed FTTs, nine patients were diagnosed as having PTT, seven patients showed tendinopathy, and 10 patients were normal on USG. Thus, USG showed a total of 30 patients with rotator cuff pathologies.

Rotator cuff tears were diagnosed on US as per the direct and indirect signs. The direct signs were full‑thickness discontinuity or nonvisualization of the tendon (FTT) and focal thinning or
focal hypoechoic defect involving surface or substance of the tendon (PTT). Tendinopathy was diagnosed as bulky heterogeneous tendon or diffuse thinning or calcifications of the tendon.

Out of 14 patients with FTTs, eight patients had full-thickness discontinuity and six patients showed nonvisualization of the tendon. Out of nine patients with partial tears, seven patients showed a hypoechoic defect involving substance or surface (articular or bursal) of the tendon and two patients demonstrated focal thinning of the tendon. The articular surface tears were the most common type of PTTs, seen in four patients. Similar results were reported by Rutten et al., who found hypoechoic defect and focal thinning of the tendon as markers of PTT.\[13\]

Because of the difficulty in diagnosing some rotator cuff tears with USG, certain indirect signs have been described. These indirect signs in our study were concavity of the subdeltoid bursal fat or loss of the convexity of the outer border of the rotator cuff, cortical irregularity, cartilage interface sign, fluid in the SASD bursa, and fluid in the long head of biceps tendon sheath. We found cortical irregularity as an important secondary sign, seen in 10 out of 14 (71.4%) and 6 out of 9 (66.6%) patients with FTT and PTT, respectively. It is comparable to the study carried by Churchill et al.\[14\]

Another important indirect sign was intrasynovial fluid which may be seen either in the SASD bursa or in biceps tendon sheath. This fluid is provoked by irritation and impingement of the entire rotator cuff.

On MRI, SASD bursal fluid was seen in a total of 13 (32.5%) patients, and all of them had either full- or partial-thickness rotator cuff tears or tendinopathies on USG. Out of these 13 patients, SASD bursal fluid was seen in eight out of 14 (57.1%) patients with FTTs, three out of 9 (33.3%) patients with PTTs, and two out of seven patients with tendinopathy. Similar results were reported by Beall et al., who reported that the presence of intra-articular fluid in combination with SASD bursal fluid on US was highly specific and had a high PPV for rotator cuff tears.\[15\]
On MRI, visualization of altered signal intensity in the tendon extending from the articular to the bursal surface of the tendon was seen in 10 (66.6%) patients and nonvisualization of the rotator cuff tendon was seen in 5 (33.4%) patients, respectively, out of 15 patients having FTTs of the rotator cuff. Similar results were obtained by Teefey et al., Yamakawa et al. (2001), and Farley et al. Teefey et al. reported that on MRI, signal changes of the rotator cuff tendon were the most reliable criteria in diagnosis of the tear.

Focal area of mildly increased signal intensity on Proton Dense (PD) images which increased in signal intensity on T2-weighted images and extended to one surface only or was seen within the tendon substance itself was seen in 8 out of 10 PTT (75%) patients. These patients were diagnosed as having partial thickness rotator cuff tears. Among these eight patients, four patients showed articular surface tears, three patients showed involvement of the bursal surface, and one patient showed intrasubstance tear. Similar results were found by McMonagle and Vinson, who reported that articular‑sided tears are by far the most common, involving the tendon fibers adjacent to the humeral head.

The most common finding of tendinopathy was bulky hyperintense tendon without retraction of fibers seen in five out of seven patients with tendinopathy. Loss of the peribursal fat plane was seen in 10 patients, and all these patients had rotator cuff tears or tendinopathy. Concave subdeltoid fat contour was noted in 4 (10%) patients, which is

When MRI examination was conducted in all these 40 patients, it showed a total of 32 rotator cuff lesions while eight patients were diagnosed as normal. Out of 32 patients diagnosed with rotator cuff pathologies on MRI, 15 showed FTTs while 10 showed PTTs and seven showed tendinopathy.

### Table 7: Prevalence of various findings on ultrasound and magnetic resonance imaging

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pathological findings in the rotator cuff</th>
<th>USG (n=30), n (%)</th>
<th>MRI (n=32), n (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Full‑thickness discontinuity or hypoechoic defect on USG and altered signal</td>
<td>15 (50)</td>
<td>18 (56.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>intensity in the tendon on MRI</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tendon nonvisualization or complete or partial tendon retraction</td>
<td>6 (20)</td>
<td>5 (15.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Focal thinning of rotator cuff on USG or contour irregularities (attenuated</td>
<td>2 (6.6)</td>
<td>4 (12.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>or thickened) tendon on MRI</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biceps tendon effusion</td>
<td>21 (70)</td>
<td>25 (78.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fluid in subdeltoid bursa</td>
<td>13 (43.3)</td>
<td>13 (40.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bulky heterogenous echogenicity on USG and diffuse hyperintensity on MRI</td>
<td>5 (16.6)</td>
<td>5 (15.6)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MRI: Magnetic resonance imaging, USG: Ultrasonography

### Table 8: Number of rotator cuff tears and tendinopathies diagnosed on ultrasound and magnetic resonance imaging

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Result</th>
<th>USG (%)</th>
<th>MRI (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FTT</td>
<td>14 (35)</td>
<td>15 (37.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PTT</td>
<td>9 (22.5)</td>
<td>10 (25)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tendinopathy</td>
<td>7 (17.5)</td>
<td>7 (17.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No tear</td>
<td>10 (25)</td>
<td>8 (20)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>40 (100)</td>
<td>40 (100)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MRI: Magnetic resonance imaging, USG: Ultrasonography, FTT: Full‑thickness tear, PTT: Partial‑thickness tear

### Table 9: Agreement between ultrasound and magnetic resonance imaging for diagnosis of rotator tears and tendinopathies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>USG</th>
<th>MRI</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No tear</td>
<td>PTT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No tear</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PTT</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FTT</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tendinopathy</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MRI: Magnetic resonance imaging, USG: Ultrasonography, FTT: Full‑thickness tear, PTT: Partial‑thickness tear

### Table 10: Total number of rotator cuff lesions obtained on ultrasound and magnetic resonance imaging

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>USG</th>
<th>MRI</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No tear</td>
<td>Tears/tendinopathy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No tear</td>
<td>7 (TN)</td>
<td>3 (FN)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tears/tendinopathy</td>
<td>1 (FP)</td>
<td>29 (TP)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TP: True positive, TN: True negative, FP: False positive, FN: False negative, MRI: Magnetic resonance imaging, USG: Ultrasonography

On MRI, visualization of altered signal intensity in the tendon extending from the articular to the bursal surface of the tendon was seen in 10 (66.6%) patients and nonvisualization of the rotator cuff tendon was seen in 5 (33.4%) patients, respectively, out of 15 patients having FTTs of the rotator cuff. Similar results were obtained by Teefey et al., Yamakawa et al. (2001), and Farley et al. Teefey et al. reported that on MRI, signal changes of the rotator cuff tendon were the most reliable criteria in diagnosis of the tear.[16-18]

Focal area of mildly increased signal intensity on Proton Dense (PD) images which increased in signal intensity on T2-weighted images and extended to one surface only or was seen within the tendon substance itself was seen in 8 out of 10 PTT (75%) patients. These patients were diagnosed as having partial thickness rotator cuff tears. Among these eight patients, four patients showed articular surface tears, three patients showed involvement of the bursal surface, and one patient showed intrasubstance tear. Similar results were found by McMonagle and Vinson, who reported that articular‑sided tears are by far the most common, involving the tendon fibers adjacent to the humeral head.[19] The most common finding of tendinopathy was bulky hyperintense tendon without retraction of fibers seen in five out of seven patients with tendinopathy.

Loss of the peribursal fat plane was seen in 10 patients, and all these patients had rotator cuff tears or tendinopathy. Concave subdeltoid fat contour was noted in 4 (10%) patients, which is
Table 11: Statistical evaluation for ultrasound diagnosis of rotator cuff pathologies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Equation</th>
<th>Calculation</th>
<th>Result (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sensitivity</td>
<td>TP/TP + FN ×100</td>
<td>29/29+3×100</td>
<td>90.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specificity</td>
<td>TN/TN + FP ×100</td>
<td>7/7+1×100</td>
<td>87.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive predictive value</td>
<td>TP/TP + FP ×100</td>
<td>29/29+1×100</td>
<td>96.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative predictive value</td>
<td>TN/TN + FN ×100</td>
<td>7/7+3×100</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accuracy</td>
<td>TP + TN/TP + TN + FP + FN ×100</td>
<td>29+7/40×100</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TP: True positive, TN: True negative, FP: False positive, FN: False negative

due to herniation of the overlying muscle through the medium and large tears.

In 26 (65%) cases, biceps tendon sheath effusion was seen on USG examination, while on MRI, 27 (67.5%) cases of biceps tendon sheath effusion were seen. Thus, USG and MRI showed a high agreement for the detection of tendon sheath effusion. Similar results were reported by Alasaarela et al., who reported effusion of the biceps tendon sheath in 24 shoulders by MRI and in 20 by US and concluded a good agreement between USG and MRI for the detection of effusion of the biceps tendon sheath.[20]

Nonvisualization of the biceps tendon on the bicipital groove indicates either rupture or subluxation of the tendon. In our study of 40 patients, 2 (5%) patients demonstrated nonvisualized biceps tendon in the intertubercular sulcus. Out of these two patients, one patient demonstrated rupture of the...
biceps tendon and one patient had biceps tendon dislocation both on USG and MRI.

Teefey et al. reported that biceps tendon abnormalities frequently are associated with rotator cuff tear. They reported a prevalence of dislocation of the biceps tendon was 6%, which correlates with the findings in our study.

On USG, biceps tendinopathy was seen in two patients, whereas MRI showed three cases of biceps tendinopathy.

Out of 30 patients with rotator cuff lesions on USG, 14 patients showed FTTs and one patient with MRI-proven PTT was falsely diagnosed as having FTT. US also showed nine PTTs and one patient with MRI-proven FTT and tendinopathy each was falsely diagnosed as PTT. Out of seven patients with tendinopathy, one was normal and two had PTT on MRI. In total, US detected 29 true-positive rotator cuff lesions, three false negatives, and one false positive, while 7 patients were diagnosed as normal (true negative).

When MRI was conducted on these patients, 32 patients had rotator cuff lesions while eight patients showed no tears and were diagnosed as normal. Out of 32 patients with lesions, 15 patients showed FTTs, 10 had PTTs, and seven had tendinopathy.

The agreement between the two methods was assessed using kappa coefficient (kappa = 0.714). The strength of agreement between USG and MRI for the diagnosis of rotator cuff pathologies is good as per the calculated kappa coefficient. Similar results were obtained by Rutten et al. Statistical evaluation of USG for the detection of rotator cuff tears and tendinopathy compared with MRI was done. Sensitivity, specificity, and PPV and NPV were calculated according to formulae.

US had high sensitivity, specificity, PPV and NPV, and accuracy.

This study has revealed several important clinical implications. First, US is a cheap, easily available, and time-saving alternative to MRI for diagnosing suspected rotator cuff pathologies. Second, patients with prosthesis, implants, and claustrophobic patients which are the limitations of MRI can be benefited by US. The accuracy of US in experienced hands was found to be as good as that of MRI. The MRI has shorter learning curve; it should be used secondarily and in selective cases because it provides more information about extent of tendons and has lower risk of artifacts. Due to the cost difference between the two procedures, our study clearly shows that US is more cost-effective test to use for identification of rotator cuff tears and tendinopathy. Radiology department should have experienced musculoskeletal radiologists, high-frequency probes, and equipment so that accurate and cost-effective diagnosis can be made. Our study showed that US is accurate in diagnosing rotator cuff pathologies which is in favorable comparison with Kenn et al. and Łach et al., who proved US to be accurate and reliable in diagnosing a wide range of shoulder disorders compared with MRI.

There are several advantages of USG over MRI. US is easily available, cheaper, and portable, has better patient compliance, and is less time-consuming. US is not subject to motion artifacts, it allows instant comparison with the contralateral side, and tendons and other structures can be evaluated dynamically. The real-time capacity of USG facilitates interventional procedures in or around the shoulder and allows better interaction with a patient who can point at the symptomatic area, which will optimize diagnostic yield. However, sonography of the shoulder joint is highly operator dependent. Small errors in transducer orientation and angulation may easily obscure small abnormalities within and around the cuff and give rise to false-positive and false-negative results. There is a steep learning curve for shoulder sonography. However, these potential pitfalls can be avoided by thoroughly understanding the normal anatomy, strictly insisting on proper transducer and patient position and using opposite shoulder for comparison. This can make sonography an effective, reliable, and noninvasive means of detecting rotator cuff tears and tendinopathies.

A number of international studies have compared USG with arthrography, MRI, and arthroscopic findings and have proved US to be an effective, comparable diagnostic tool.

**Conclusion**

High-resolution USG is an excellent modality for evaluation of rotator cuff pathologies owing to:

- Affordability
- Noninvasiveness
- Wide availability
- Excellent patient compliance
- Option of bedside evaluation
- Option to examine contralateral shoulder for comparison
- Rapidity of investigation.

The present study was based on high-resolution USG evaluation of rotator cuff pathologies and correlation of the findings with MRI was done in each case. USG and MRI are comparable modalities for the evaluation of rotator cuff pathologies. Evaluation of contralateral shoulder with USG should always be done for comparison.
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